

In the matter of the General Data Protection Regulation

DPC Complaint Reference: [REDACTED]

IMI Complaint Reference Number: [REDACTED]

In the matter of a complaint, lodged by [REDACTED] with the Bayerisches Landesamt für Datenschutzaufsicht pursuant to Article 77 of the General Data Protection Regulation, concerning Microsoft Ireland Operations Limited.

Record of Amicable Resolution of the complaint and its consequent withdrawal pursuant to Section 109(3) of the Data Protection Act, 2018

Further to the requirements of EDPB Guidelines 06/2022 on the practical implementation of amicable settlements Version 2.0 (adopted on 12 May 2022)

**RECORD OF AMICABLE RESOLUTION FOR THE
PURPOSE OF EDPB GUIDELINES 06/2022 ON THE
PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF AMICABLE
SETTLEMENTS VERSION 2.0, ADOPTED 12 MAY 2022**

Dated the 15th day of May 2023



Data Protection Commission
21 Fitzwilliam Square South
Dublin 2, Ireland

Background

1. [REDACTED] (“the **Data Subject**”) lodged a complaint pursuant to Article 77 GDPR with the Bayerisches Landesamt für Datenschutzaufsicht (“the **Recipient SA**”) concerning Microsoft Ireland Operations Limited (“the **Respondent**”).
2. In circumstances where the Data Protection Commission (“the **DPC**”) was deemed to be the competent authority for the purpose of Article 56(1) GDPR, the Recipient SA transferred the complaint to the DPC on 29 September 2020.

The Complaint

3. The details of the complaint were as follows:
 - a. The Data Subject’s complaint related to a delisting request submitted pursuant to Article 17 GDPR. The Data Subject had commented on a YouTube video when they were 15 years old, and although they had subsequently deleted both their comment and their YouTube account, the video continued to be returned in a Bing search against their name.
 - b. The Data Subject was not satisfied with the Respondent’s response to the delisting request.

Action taken by the DPC

4. The DPC, pursuant to Section 109(4) of the Data Protection Act, 2018 (“the **2018 Act**”), is required, as a preliminary matter, to assess the likelihood of the parties to the complaint reaching, within a reasonable time, an amicable resolution of the subject-matter of the complaint. Where the DPC considers that there is a reasonable likelihood of such an amicable resolution being concluded between the parties, it is empowered, by Section 109(2) of the 2018 Act, to take such steps as it considers appropriate to arrange or facilitate such an amicable resolution.
5. Following a preliminary examination of the material referred to it by the Recipient SA, the DPC considered that there was a reasonable likelihood of the parties concerned reaching, within a reasonable time, an amicable resolution of the subject matter of the complaint. The DPC’s experience is that complaints of this nature are particularly suitable for amicable resolution in circumstances where there is an obvious solution to the dispute, if the respondent is willing to engage in the process. In this regard, the DPC had regard to:
 - a. The relationship between the Data Subject and Respondent (being, in this case, an individual consumer and a service provider); and
 - b. The nature of the complaint (in this case, an unsuccessful attempt by the Data Subject to exercise their data subject rights).

6. While not relevant to the assessment that the DPC is required to carry out pursuant to Section 109(4) of the 2018 Act, the DPC also had regard to EDPB Guidelines 06/2022 on the practical implementation of amicable settlements Version 2.0, adopted on 12 May 2022 (“**Document 06/2022**”), and considered that:
 - a. the possible conclusion of the complaint by way of amicable resolution would not hamper the ability of the supervisory authorities to maintain the high level of protection that the GDPR seeks to create; and that
 - b. such a conclusion, in this case, would likely carry advantages for the Data Subject, whose rights under the GDPR would be vindicated swiftly, as well as for the controller, who would be provided the opportunity to bring its behaviour into compliance with the GDPR.

Amicable Resolution

7. The DPC engaged with both the Data Subject (via the Recipient SA) and Respondent in relation to the subject-matter of the complaint. On 1 February 2021, the DPC outlined the Data Subject’s complaint to the Respondent. On 15 February 2021, the Respondent responded to the DPC and stated that it was unable to block the complained-of URL, as it was a search engine results page (**SERP**). Subsequently, the DPC engaged in further communications with the Respondent in an attempt to resolve the issues regarding the complained-of URL.
8. As a result of further engagement with the Respondent, it explained to the DPC that while the video did not appear in Bing searches of the Data Subject’s name, when a search was conducted in the video vertical within Bing, the video was still being returned. The Respondent informed the DPC that it was working with its teams internally to block the content. The Respondent further explained that typically when a delisting request is submitted it would be blocked in both of these scenarios, but in this instance it was not.
9. On 1 April 2022, the DPC contacted the Data Subject via the Recipient SA. When doing so, the DPC noted that it had conducted a Bing search against the Data Subject’s name on 10 March 2022 and it did not return the complained-of URL. In the circumstances, the DPC asked the Data Subject to notify it, within two months, if they were not satisfied with the outcome, so that the DPC could take further action. On 19 April 2022, the Data Subject contacted the DPC via the Recipient SA agreeing to amicable resolution and informing the DPC that the complaint can be closed.
10. On 10 August 2022, and in light of the foregoing, the DPC wrote to the Recipient SA noting that the DPC considered the complaint to have been amicably resolved and withdrawn in accordance with section 109(3) of the Act and that it would conclude the case and inform the Respondent.
11. In circumstances where the subject-matter of the complaint has been amicably resolved, in full, the complaint, by virtue of Section 109(3) of the 2018 Act, is deemed to have been withdrawn by the Data Subject.

Confirmation of Outcome

12. For the purpose of Document 06/2022, the DPC confirms that:

- a. The complaint, in its entirety, has been amicably resolved between the parties concerned;
- b. The agreed resolution is such that the object of the complaint no longer exists; and
- c. Having consulted with the supervisory authorities concerned on the information set out above, as required by Document 06/2022 the DPC has now closed off its file in this matter.

13. If dissatisfied with the outcome recorded herein, the parties have the right to an effective remedy by way of an application for judicial review, by the Irish High Court, of the process applied by the DPC in the context of the within complaint.

Signed for and on behalf of the DPC:



Deputy Commissioner

Data Protection Commission