Evaluation of the LED under Article 62 – Questions to Data Protection Authorities / European Data Protection Board

Fields marked	d with *	are	mandatory.	

Background

The Data Protection Law Enforcement Directive (LED)[1] entered into force on 6 May 2016 and the Member States had to transpose it by 6 May 2018[2]. It applies to the domestic and cross-border processing of personal data by competent authorities for the purposes of preventing, investigating, detecting or prosecuting criminal offences and executing criminal penalties, including safeguarding against and preventing threats to public security. The LED is the first instrument that takes a comprehensive approach to data protection in the field of law enforcement, including by regulating 'domestic' processing. It is therefore a significant development compared with the earlier Framework Decision (which covered only transmission between Member States) that it repealed and replaced.

By harmonising the protection of personal data by law enforcement authorities in EU and Schengen countries, it contributes to increased trust and data exchange between authorities for law enforcement purposes, provided such exchange is based on a law, while at the same time ensuring that the rights of individuals are effectively protected.

As required by the LED[3], the Commission shall present to the European Parliament and to the Council a first report on the evaluation and review of the Directive by 6 May 2022[4]. Following the review the Commission shall, if necessary, submit appropriate proposals for amendments, in particular taking account of developments in information technology and in the light of the state of progress in the information society[5].

The LED stipulates that the Commission shall take into account the positions and findings of the European Parliament, of the Council and of other relevant bodies or sources[6]. The Commission may also request information from Member States and supervisory authorities. The Commission has already started a dialogue with the Member States through the Council Working party on Data Protection. A dedicated questionnaire has also been sent to civil society organisations by the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA).

For the purpose of the evaluation and review the Commission shall in particular examine the application and functioning of the LED provisions on international data transfers[7]. Besides, this questionnaire seeks to cover other aspects with particular relevance for the supervisory authorities, such as the exercise of their tasks and powers and their cooperation with each other, as well as the consistent application of the LED in the EU.

As this questionnaire intends to contribute to evaluating the LED, in your replies please provide information on your activities (e.g. as regards the decision-making, awareness-raising, training etc.) which fall <u>under the scope of the LED</u>.

We would be grateful to receive the replies to this questionnaire in its online form in English, before **5 November 2021**, so that they can be sent to the European Commission as part of the EDPB contribution to the LED review by 17 December 2021

Please note that your replies may be made public.

When there are several DPAs in your Member State, please provide a consolidated reply at national level.

When replying, please take into account that the questions below concern the **period from the date when the LED was transposed in your Member State to 5 November 2021**, unless otherwise specified.

Following the input from other stakeholders, it is not excluded that we might have additional questions at a later stage.

[1] Directive (EU) 2016/680 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by competent authorities for the purposes of the prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of criminal offences or the execution of criminal penalties, and on the free movement of such data. [2] Article 63(1) LED, [3] Article 62(1) LED, [4] Reports should subsequently be issued every four years. [5] Article 62(5) LED, [6] Article 62(4) LED, [7] Article 62(2) LED

QUESTIONNAIRE

We kindly ask the countries that have more than one SA to send us one consolidated reply.

* Select your Country

Iceland

Powers

- * Q1: In your opinion, did the LED strengthen your investigative powers / corrective powers?
 - Yes
 - No
- *Q2: Please list your investigative powers
 - ▼ To obtain, from the controller and the processor, access to all personal data and to all information necessary for the performance of its tasks
 - To obtain access to any premises of the controller and the processor, including to any data processing equipment and means, in accordance with Union or Member State procedural law
 - To carry out investigations in the form of data protection audits
 - Other(s)

* Q2.1: Please specify the other investigative powers not listed above	
According to Art. 30, Para. 6. of the Act, powers of the DPA according to the general data protection legislation on the basis of the GDPR are applicable as appropriate.	
Q3: Do you consider your investigation powers effective	
Yes	
© No	
Q3.2: If needed, please provide more details below:	
Q4: Do you face any practical difficulties in applying your investigative powers?	
Yes	
No	
*Q5:Have you conducted investigations and/or inspections on your own initiative or only on th basis of complaints?	е
Multiple replies are possible	
On our own initiative	
On the basis of complaints	
*Q6: Do you have all the powers listed under Article 47(2)(a), (b – including rectification, erasurestriction) and (c) LED?	e,
Yes	
O No	
* Q7:Do you have the same corrective powers towards all law enforcement authorities?	
Yes	

	O No					
* Q8: Do you consider your corrective powers effective?						
(Yes					
(○ No					
* Q9:	Have you used your corrective powers?					
(○ Yes					
(No					
* Q9.	* Q9.3: Please provide the reason why you have not used your corrective powers					
	One such case has arisen but the Police district in that case admitted to mistakes and stopped the processing on it's own accord, so a formal use of corrective powers was not needed. However, a formal decision was issued, deeming the use of Facebook by Reykjavík Police to process personal data was not in accordance with law (LED).					
Co	mplaints					
* Q10						
.	: Has there been an increase in complaints following the LED transposition in your Member					
Stat	re?					
(Yes					
(re?					
(Yes No					
* Q11	re? Yes					
* Q11	Yes No No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights.					
* Q11	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED)					
* Q11 sub	Yes No No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing					
* Q11 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED)					
* Q11 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED) Accuracy of the data (Article 4 (1)(d) LED)					
* Q11 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED) Accuracy of the data (Article 4 (1)(d) LED) Storage limitation principle (Article 4 (1)(e) LED) and appropriate time limits (Article 5 LED)					
* Q111 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED) Accuracy of the data (Article 4 (1)(d) LED) Storage limitation principle (Article 4 (1)(e) LED) and appropriate time limits (Article 5 LED) Accountability of the controller (Article 4(4) LED)					
* Q111 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED) Accuracy of the data (Article 4 (1)(d) LED) Storage limitation principle (Article 4 (1)(e) LED) and appropriate time limits (Article 5 LED) Accountability of the controller (Article 4(4) LED) The determination of the legal basis (Article 8 / Article 10 LED)					
* Q111 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED) Accuracy of the data (Article 4 (1)(d) LED) Storage limitation principle (Article 4 (1)(e) LED) and appropriate time limits (Article 5 LED) Accountability of the controller (Article 4(4) LED)					
* Q111 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED) Accuracy of the data (Article 4 (1)(d) LED) Storage limitation principle (Article 4 (1)(e) LED) and appropriate time limits (Article 5 LED) Accountability of the controller (Article 4(4) LED) The determination of the legal basis (Article 8 / Article 10 LED)					
* Q111 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED) Accuracy of the data (Article 4 (1)(d) LED) Storage limitation principle (Article 4 (1)(e) LED) and appropriate time limits (Article 5 LED) Accountability of the controller (Article 4(4) LED) The determination of the legal basis (Article 8 / Article 10 LED) The conditions related to the processing of special categories of personal data (Article 10 LED) Automated individual decision-making, including the right to obtain human intervention in automated					
* Q111 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED) Accuracy of the data (Article 4 (1)(d) LED) Storage limitation principle (Article 4 (1)(e) LED) and appropriate time limits (Article 5 LED) Accountability of the controller (Article 4(4) LED) The determination of the legal basis (Article 8 / Article 10 LED) The conditions related to the processing of special categories of personal data (Article 10 LED) Automated individual decision-making, including the right to obtain human intervention in automated individual decision-making (Article 11 LED)					
* Q111 sub	Yes No Please indicate the issues raised the most in these complaints, in particular as regards data ject rights. The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED) The respect of the purpose limitation principle, including for subsequent processing Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED) Accuracy of the data (Article 4 (1)(d) LED) Storage limitation principle (Article 4 (1)(e) LED) and appropriate time limits (Article 5 LED) Accountability of the controller (Article 4(4) LED) The determination of the legal basis (Article 8 / Article 10 LED) The conditions related to the processing of special categories of personal data (Article 10 LED) Automated individual decision-making, including the right to obtain human intervention in automated individual decision-making (Article 11 LED) Modalities for exercising the rights (Article 12 LED)					

	 Exercise of the data subject's rights in the context of joint controllership (Article 21 LED) Data protection by design and by default (Article 20)
	The obligation to keep track of the logs and purposes of processing regarding the logs (Article 25 LED)
	The obligation to conduct a data protection impact assessment (Article 27 LED)
	The obligation to ensure the security of processing, including data breaches (Articles 4(1)(f), 29 LED)
	☑ Other
Q1	1.3: Data minimisation principle (Article 4 (1)(c) LED)- raised issues:
Q1	1.7: The determination of the legal basis (Article 8 / Article 10 LED) - raised issues:
Q1	1.12: Right of access by the data subject and limitations to this right (Articles 14 and 15 LED) - rai
	d issues:

Q11.13: The right to rectification or erasure of personal data (Article 16 LED) - raised issues:

* 1	Q11.19: Other- please specify:
^ (311.19: Other- please specify:
	Transmission of personal data from law enforcement to a recipient not subject to the LED
* (Q12: Are you following up on all complaints?
	YesNo
* (Q13:Have you received complaints by organisations representing data subjects under Article 55
	LED?
	O Yes
	No
	Consultations and advisory powers
•	
* (Q14:Have competent authorities utilised the prior consultation procedure in accordance with Article
	28 (1)(a) or (b) LED?
	© Yes
	No
* (Q15:Have you established a list of processing operations subject to prior consultation pursuant to
	Article 28(3) LED?
	Yes
	No

other regulatory measures with a data protection dimension?
Not at all
Occasionally
Systematically
*Q17:How many opinions under Article 47(3) LED, other than prior consultations pursuant to Art 28 (1) LED, have you issued upon request or on your own initiative?
None, but shortly before the LED became applicable, the DPA provided the district prosecutor with an opinion regarding whether it would be allowed to run together its AML database and the Schengen database.
Furthermore, one opinion has been requested by the Police Commissioner but is not finalised.
* Q18:Please indicate the types of issues on which competent authorities have approached you for
advice (e.g. data breach notifications, handling of data subjects' requests, security).
[Multiple replies are possible - please note that as regards consultations in the context of DPIAs relevant replies
should be made to Questions 17 and 18]
The respect of the proportionality and necessity principle (Article 4 LED)
Storage limitation principle (Article 4 (1)(e) LED) and appropriate time limits (Article 5 LED)
Accountability of the controller (Article 4(4) LED)
The determination of the legal basis (Article 8 / Article 10 LED)
Processing of special categories of personal data (Article 10 LED)
Types of processing, in particular, using new technologies, mechanisms or procedures (Article 27 / Article 2 (1)(a) LED)
Processing for purpose of research and/or innovation (Article 9(2) LED)
Automated individual decision-making, including profiling (Article 11 LED)
Modalities for exercising the rights (Article 12 LED)
Handling of data subjects requests in relation to the exercise of their rights (Chapter III LED)
 Joint controllership, including on the arrangements of the joint controllers' responsibilities (Article 21 LED) Controller / processor arrangements (Article 22 LED)
 Data protection by design and by default, including anonymisation and pseudonymisation (Article 20 LED) The obligation to keep track of the logs and purposes of processing regarding the logs (Article 25 LED) Appropriate security measures (Article 4(1)(f) and Article 29 LED) Other
Q18.4: The determination of the legal basis (Article 8 / Article 10 LED)- raised issues:

* Q16:Does your national parliament / government consult you during the preparation of legislative or

Awareness-raising, training and guidance	
Q19:Have you issued guidance and / or practical tools supporting competent authorities or	
processors to comply with their obligations?	
© Yes	
No	
Q20:Have you provided training to / carried out awareness-raising activities for competent	
authorities and / or processors (DPOs included)?	
Yes	
© No	
U 140	
Q20.1: how many and on which topics?	
Yes, for two years running the DPA-DPO has been a guest lecturer at the Centre for Police Training and	
Professional Development teaching data protection at a mandatory course for senior Police Officers.	
Data breach notifications	
Q21: How many data breach notifications have you received?	
7	

*Q22: In what proportion have you followed up with investigations?(%)

0		
Q23: In what proportion have you advised or ordered competent authorities to take me	easures	
mitigating the risks ?(%)		
1		
Q24: In what proportion has the communication to the data subject been delayed, restomitted on the grounds set out in Article 13(3) LED? (%)	ricted or	
0		
Power pursuant to Article 47(5) LED		
Q25: Have you exercised your power to		
	Yes	No
* bring infringements of your national law(s) transposing the LED to the attention of judicial authorities?	0	0
* commence or otherwise engage in legal proceedings?	0	0
Q26:Did you face difficulties in exercising this power? O Yes No		
Exercise of data subjects' rights through the SA		
Q27: How many requests under Article 17 LED have you received?		
14		
Q27.1: What were the outcomes of the cases? Multiple choices are possible ☐ Request declared inadmissible ☑ All or some data requested provided to data subject ☑ SA informed data subject that it has conducted all necessary verifications or a review ☐ Controller ordered to provide (partial) access to the personal data		
Controller ordered to rectify personal dataController ordered to erase personal data		

Controller ordered to restrict the processing of personal data
SA applied other corrective powers (e.g. a ban on processing and/or fines)
Others
* Q28:Did encounter any particular problems?
Yes
No
International transfers
* Q29: Have you encountered cases where a controller transferred personal data based on a 'self-
assessment' pursuant to Article 37(1)(b) LED?
Yes
O No
* Q29.1: What kind of "categories of transfers" did the controller communicate (Article 37(2) LED)?
One such case is being investigated at this time. No decision has been reached at this time.
* Q29.2: Have there been cases where you requested documentation pursuant to Article 37(3) LED?
Yes
O No
* Q30: Have you carried out any investigations into data transfers based on derogations, in particula
those set out in Article 38(1)(c) and (d) LED?
Yes
O No
* Q30.1: Did the investigation reveal (possible) issues of non-compliance ?
Yes
© No
■ 140
* Q30.1.1: What are the non-compliance issues revealed by the investigations?

	The case is still on-going.
Q3(0.2: Have there been cases where you requested documentation pursuant to Article 38(3) LED?
	Yes
	O No
	1: Have you received any information pursuant to Article 39(3) LED about data transfers based Article 39(1) LED?
	Yes
	O No
	1.1: have there been cases that raised issues of non-compliance with the requirements set out in
Art	icle 39(1) LED?
	Yes No
	O INO
Q3	1.1.1: please explain:
	The case is still on-going.
	2: Have you carried out activities to promote the awareness of controllers/processors
(sp	ecifically) with respect to their obligations under Chapter V of the LED?
	YesNo
	- IV
Q3:	3: Have you exercised your advisory powers towards the government and/or competent
	horities with respect to data transfers under Chapter V of the LED, for instance as regards the
lev	el of appropriate safeguards under Article 37(1)(a), (b) LED)?

No
* Q34: Have you provided (or been asked to provide) assistance to Member States in assessing and where necessary, reviewing their international agreements involving international data transfers (for instance, relating to mutual legal assistance, police cooperation) that were concluded prior to May 2016? Yes No
● NO
* Q35: Have you received/handled complaints (by data subjects and/or bodies, organisations or associations in accordance with Article 55) specifically addressing the issue of data transfers? © Yes No
* Q36: Have you exercised your investigative and/or enforcement powers with respect to data transfers?
© Yes
No
* Q37: Have there been cases in which you have cooperated with foreign data protection authorities (for instance, exchange of information, complaint referral, mutual assistance)? © Yes No
Judicial review
* Q38: Have data subjects / competent authorities / processors contested your decisions (or inaction before national courts? O Yes No
Cooperation
* Q39: Have you used the mutual assistance tool under Article 50 LED? O Yes No
* Q40: Have you encountered any obstacles (e.g. of an administrative nature) when requesting or providing assistance to another DPA? O Yes No
* Q40.1: Please describe them as well as possible solutions.

Yes

N/A		

Human, financial and technical resources

Q41: How many persons (in full time equivalents) in your DPA (respectively EDPB Secretariat) work on issues that fall within the scope of the LED specifically?

	Number (FTE)	%
*2017	Not available	Not available
*2018	Not available	Not available
*2019	Not available	Not available
*2020	10% of a FTE	Less than 5%
*2021	10% of a FTE	Less than 5%

- * Q42: How would you assess your DPA's resources for its work on the LED from a human and financial point of view?
 - Sufficient
 - Insufficient

Q42.1: Please explain why the resources are insufficient:

The DPA does not have sufficient resources for carrying out mandatory audits according to SIS/VIS/EES /ETIAS. No financial resources were specially allotted to the audits or other tasks of the DPA when the Icelandic law incorporating the LED was adopted in parliament.

expertise (criminal law / technical / IT) and IT resources? Yes No * Q43.1: what are the challenges you are facing? Insufficient expertise in criminal law Insufficient expertise in working methods and practices of law enforcement authorities Insufficient expertise in international cooperation in criminal matters Insufficient expertise in technologies used in the area of law enforcement Insufficient IT resources Others challenges Q43.1.4: Insufficient expertise in technologies used in the area of law enforcement- please provide
 No ★ Q43.1: what are the challenges you are facing? Insufficient expertise in criminal law Insufficient expertise in working methods and practices of law enforcement authorities Insufficient expertise in international cooperation in criminal matters Insufficient expertise in technologies used in the area of law enforcement Insufficient IT resources Others challenges
 □ Insufficient expertise in criminal law □ Insufficient expertise in working methods and practices of law enforcement authorities □ Insufficient expertise in international cooperation in criminal matters ☑ Insufficient expertise in technologies used in the area of law enforcement ☑ Insufficient IT resources □ Others challenges
 □ Insufficient expertise in working methods and practices of law enforcement authorities □ Insufficient expertise in international cooperation in criminal matters ☑ Insufficient expertise in technologies used in the area of law enforcement ☑ Insufficient IT resources □ Others challenges
 ☐ Insufficient expertise in international cooperation in criminal matters ☑ Insufficient expertise in technologies used in the area of law enforcement ☑ Insufficient IT resources ☐ Others challenges
 Insufficient expertise in technologies used in the area of law enforcement Insufficient IT resources Others challenges
✓ Insufficient IT resources□ Others challenges
Others challenges
O42.1.4. Insufficient expertise in technologies used in the area of law enforcement, places provide
042.1.4. Insufficient expertise in technologies used in the erec of law enforcement, places provide
Q43.1.4: Insumcient expertise in technologies used in the area of law emorcement- please provide
more details below:
Q43.1.5: Insufficient IT resources-please provide more details below:
· ·
Horizontal questions

* Q44: In your opinion, what has been the main impact(s) of the transposition of the LED in your

Member State?

	impact seems to be mostly connected to third-country mutual assistance, which looks to have
	e subject to stricter rules than before. ore, issues relating to the right of erasure have also been raised and discussed, mainly how to
	ne public interest of preserving personal data relating to criminal offences etc. vs. the interest of
person inv	
: Have yo	u identified any specific challenges regarding the application of the LED in relatio
technolo	gies? Please explain?
Yes	
No	

* Q47: Is there anything else you would like to mention relevant for the LED evaluation that is not

Member State?

YesNo

YesNo

covered in this questionnaire?