



## European Data Protection Board consultation on the Guidelines 1/2020 on processing personal data in the context of connected vehicles and mobility related applications ([adopted](#) on 28 January 2020)

### Introduction

The Austrian Automobile, Motorcycle and Touring Club (ÖAMTC) is Austria's largest association of motorists, representing 2 million members. As part of the statutory duties to promote and protect the interests of its members, the ÖAMTC pays special attention to the consumer rights of motorists. Our range of services includes among others free advice to our members in consumer law matters. In the capacity of being Austria's largest advocacy group for the consumer rights of motorists, our experts participate in governmental department working groups and consultation procedures, conduct research and tests and deliver assessments and feedback. As a member of the Fédération Internationale de l'Automobile (F.I.A.), we are furthermore safeguarding the motorist and consumer rights on a European and global level.

We are registered in the EU Transparency Register as an NGO with the No. 21689673146-84.

ÖAMTC welcomes the opportunity to provide input on the Guidelines on processing personal data in the context of connected vehicles via the public consultation. We have outlined several points that could be considered in this consultation process:

### Categories of data and the rights of the data subject

As a member of FIA Region I, ÖAMTC welcomes the Guidelines' support to the '**My Car My Data**'<sup>1</sup> survey and campaign. Considering the increasing amount of data generated by connected vehicles, the Guidelines state most of it can be considered as personal data, once they will relate to drivers or passengers.

As the guidelines rightly state, any data that can be associated with a natural person therefore fall into the scope of this document. Therefore ÖAMTC is convinced that **not most, but all data** in connected vehicles **qualify as personal data** unless anonymized, in which case European data protection law no longer applies. In fact, FIA Region I has commissioned a Legal Study<sup>2</sup> looking into the matter. The study revealed that it is neither relevant whether data compromises technical data, nor whether data is vehicle generated or provided by the individual for the data to be qualified as personal data since vehicle manufacturers and other data controllers can typically easily identify the driver, owner and user with reasonable efforts.

With this in mind and on the back of our expertise in consumer and data protection in transport, we recommend the Guidelines give further attention to the close and **sensitive relationship** between the **consumer** (either the owner, holder or driver of the car) and the **connected vehicle**. When clarifying the legal definition of the data subject (paragraph 37), it should refrain from portraying the consumer as a mere subject of the data treatment, but, instead, as the **origin of the data**. Therefore, when defining the data subject, the Guidelines should also mention that **the user** is the one **entitled to decide** on the data

<sup>1</sup> FIA Region I Campaign and Survey '[My Car My Data](#)', May 2017

<sup>2</sup> '[What EU Legislation says about car data - Legal Memorandum on Connected Vehicles and Data](#)', Osborne Clark, Legal Study Commissioned by FIA Region I in the context of the My Car My Data Campaign, 16 May 2017.



generated by the connected vehicle and on possible recipients. This is especially important when it comes to decisions on transmitting personal data to third parties.

Currently the guidelines leave room for interpretation, whether or not the **fate of personal data necessary for service, maintenance or break down assistance is determined by the data subject** or solely by the data controller, which in most cases will be the manufacturer. It even seems that only the data controller decides **who** the recipients and service partners are and the **data subject is reduced to agreeing or disagreeing but is given no right of their own to introduce and determine such recipients and partners** (see paragraph 95). On the other hand the case studies in paragraph 102 mention that *'the data subject could also contract with a company that offers roadside assistance in the event of a breakdown and which entails the transmission of the vehicle's location to the company or with a service provider in order to receive messages or alerts relating to the vehicle's functioning (e.g., an alert on the state of brake wear, or a reminder of the technical-inspection date).'* Even though we as a consumer association strongly welcome this example in the case studies, we can't find any recommended procedures for this scenario in the guidelines. The ÖAMTC therefore strongly urges to address this subject more clearly and give consumers the means to exercise their freedom and their right to decide who they allow to use their car data, e.g. in terms of portability.

The categories of data necessary for third party contractors to fulfil their duties vis a vis the data subject often will comprise more than geolocation. It is advisable to create a category of less sensitive but more technical data over which the data subject has the power of disposition. This category should contain data necessary for help in breakdown situations in order to give road side assistance or to predict a possible breakdown beforehand. It is important to clarify that the access to such data should not be impeded by the controller by introducing unnecessary technical barriers or unjustified costs, otherwise the freedom and the rights of the data subject could be undermined through this back door. Therefore this category of data should be made available free of charge in contrast to the data commercially available from the data controller.

Regarding the special categories of data, ÖAMTC supports the special attention given highly **sensitive categories of data**. Geolocation data, biometric data and data revealing criminal offences or infractions, successfully highlight the need to protect personal data.

ÖAMTC encourages these three categories to be also **reinforced in national legislation**, to ensure that the sensitivity is addressed in different levels of governance. Therefore, recognising in legislation the special attention to the processing of such categories of data can further protect individuals' data protection and privacy rights.

### Scope

ÖAMTC welcomes the Guidelines' clarification of the scope of the processing of personal data in the context of non-professional use of connected vehicles. Besides, we endorse the fact that the Guidelines consider the collection of personal data through several means, either vehicle sensors, telematics boxes, or mobile applications, when they are related to the environment of driving. This interpretation clarifies the protection of motorists' personal data not only for current means of collecting data but also for **new applications and devices** in the coming future.



## Road Safety Concerns

ÖAMTC shares the same concerns raised by the Guidelines regarding the **driver's ability to stop the collection** of certain types of data at any moment, either temporarily or permanently.

Vehicles must be safe even when the driver chooses to stop the collection of the personal data from connected vehicles, as exercising this right should not mean the individual is put at risk. In other words, **vehicles and their functionalities** must be **designed** considering all necessary safety measures to ensure that drivers are able to **safely stop the collection of data**.

Therefore, ÖAMTC endorses the Guidelines provisions incentivise vehicle manufacturers and other data controllers to **implement specific tools** allowing drivers to **effectively exercise their rights**.

## Purposes for processing personal data

The Guidelines rightfully clarify the application of Art. 6(1)(c), GDPR to connected vehicles. As exemplified with the eCall case study, the processing of personal information can be necessary for compliance with a legal obligation to which the controller is subject. In fact, the Guidelines add that such processing still must be done transparently and understandably, following Art. 13, GDPR.

ÖAMTC welcomes this initiative and recommends the Guidelines to **clarify the processing** of personal data and its limitations regarding the application of the **General Vehicle Safety Regulation**<sup>3</sup> and its **implementing regulations**. All new cars put on the market as of July 2022 will have to be equipped with a set of mandatory safety technologies which will necessarily involve the processing of personal data, such as event data recorders, drowsiness and attention detection, and distraction recognition. Therefore, clarifying the processing of personal data coming from the mandatory application of new vehicle technologies would further increase the protection of motorists' data and privacy.

Besides, ÖAMTC recommends that the Guidelines clarify the situations where personal data from connected vehicles might fall under the **processing under legitimate interest**, as described in Art. 6(1)(f), GDPR. How far can vehicle manufacturers rely on **Art. 6(1)(f) GDPR** when processing personal data? How can it be ensured that this article is **not abused by vehicle manufacturers** (for example by arguing that they have the legal obligation to observe their products on the market) when **consent is not given or withdrawn**? Unfortunately, the Guidelines do not enter this discussion. Such clarification could avoid data processors, including vehicle and equipment manufacturers, abusing this legal basis and processing a wide set of motorists' data, for instance, by claiming that all the information is security-relevant.

## Security of personal data

ÖAMTC recognises there are several concerns over potential unauthorised access to the data stored in the vehicles for purposes of repair and maintenance. However, these concerns should not cloud the path towards achieving **authorised and trustworthy access** to in-vehicle data, functions and resources.

To address these concerns, ÖAMTC calls for uniform and binding specifications on access to in-vehicle data, functions and resources to be established in legislation. FIA Region I has developed a discussion paper<sup>4</sup> with

<sup>3</sup> [Regulation \(EU\) 2019/2144](#), OJ L 325, 16.12.2019, p. 1–40

<sup>4</sup> ['FIA Region I Technical Discussion Paper: Trustworthy access to in-vehicle data, functions and resources'](#), FIA Region I, February 2020.



a proposed **architecture for authorised access** to vehicle data taking into account the different **roles and responsibilities** of all the **after-market competitors** and **vehicle manufacturers**.

By implementing a **uniform IT security standard** for the future mode of data exchange via the vehicle's telematics interfaces, the objectives of reaching authorised and trustworthy access to in-vehicle data can be achieved. This way not only **access and fair competition** are ensured, but also **data protection and IT security over the lifetime of the vehicle**, so that consumers can trust this new digital world in their connected cars.

Data access must, therefore, be **tailored** according to the **level** necessary to **perform a specific task or service**, with the processing of personal data being specified, explicit and legitimate.

ÖAMTC recommends the Guidelines to address this concern by **including a case study** looking into the particularities of data processing and security of personal data for the purposes of **vehicle diagnostics, repair and maintenance** services under the section '3.1 Provision of a service by a third party'.

#### Data minimisation

ÖAMTC welcomes the discussion of data minimisation principles in the context of connected vehicles. Motorists have strong concerns that data **controllers** might use the legal obligations from product liability to **gather excessive** personal **data**. We recommend that, next to the example of geolocation data, the Guidelines also mention the **limits for processing personal data** for purposes of **liability**.

#### Futureproofing the protection of motorists' personal data

One of the objectives of ÖAMTC is to bring the consumer's perspective into the current debate on increased autonomous driving trends. Vehicle automation can bring significant safety and efficiency improvements in the medium-to-long term by assisting drivers in critical situations. Great uncertainties remain, however, on how and when **higher levels of automation** will be available to regular drivers and what this **will mean for the processing of personal data**.

We encourage the Guidelines to consider this envisioned automation of the sector to make sure that the parameters for a **futureproof application of data protection rules** to connected vehicles are set.